

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/759,535	759,535 01/16/2001		Naoyuki Matsuki	1095.1150/JDH	2138	
21171	7590	04/09/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			HARRELL, ROBERT B			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING				2142		
			•	DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/759,5		MATSUKI, NAOYUKI				
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit				
			B. Harrell	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 January 2001</u> .							
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election r	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
• —	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s)	oe held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
ŕ	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date	08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit : 2142

1. Claims 1-10 are presented for examination.

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The textual portion of the specification is replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors to numerous to mention specifically. The specification should be revised carefully. Example can be found in throughout the specification such as on page 1 (line 16 "Anetwork2isInternet" (spaces are required as well as --the-- before "Internet"). Spelling and grammar must be corrected through the whole of the application. "Means" can be implemented in the claims but not in the other sections of the application (eg., see figure 1 (20b)).
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty

Art Unit : 2142

defined in section 351(a); or

- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (*) as being anticipated by Kurosawa et al. (6,047,288).
- 6. Per claim 1, Kurosawa taught a server (eg., see figure 19 and col. 9 (lines 14-16)) for performing a process (eg., see col. 25 (lines 25-52)) corresponding to requests from a plurality of clients (groups per figure 20 and/or 21), the server comprising:
- a) environment setting information storing means (eg., see figure 19 (612a and/or 613d))) for storing environment setting information regarding each client (eg., see col. 25 (lines 20-30));
- b) client specifying means (eg., see figure 4 (S11), figure 6 (S31), figure 24 (S501)), for specifying, in the case of a request for a process having been made from a predetermined client, the client which made the request (eg., see col. 12 (lines 30-40), col. 13 (lines 37-54), and col. 25 (line 54-et seq.));
- c) environment setting means (eg., see figure 19 (612b and/or 613a)) for obtaining environment setting information corresponding a client specified by the client specifying means from the environment setting information storing means and setting the corresponding environment (eg., see col. 25 (lines

Art Unit : 2142

15-34)); and,

- d) processing means (eg., see figure 19 (610) for performing a process (coordinating cooperative operations per the Abstract) corresponding to the request (such as controlling meetings) on the basis of an environment set by the environment setting means (eg. see col. 25 (lines 15-17)).
- 7. Per claim 2, see for example, Abstract.
- 8. Per claim 3, see for example figures 20 and/or 21 where a client (a group in Kurosawa) contained a plurality of users and the combination of each formed the environment per col. 2 (line 63-et seq.), col. 4 (lines 50-59), and col. 20 (line 56-et seq.), and also col. 4 (lines 4-5).
- 9. Per claims 4-9, see for example col. 24 (line 65-et seq.) shows a client inputting environment setting information, col. 25 (line 7) shows only an authorized user setting this information and group identification in the packets sent by the client to indicate the group so effected as stored in the client as the clients address (ie., terminal address), while a state was shown in col. 4 (lines 24-50 "read-only" among others).
- 10. Per claim 10, this claim does not teach or defined above the correspondingly rejected claims given above, and is thus rejected for the same reasons given above. Specifically figure 19 (613)

Art Unit : 2142

envisioned Kurosawa on a computer-readable record medium per col.

25 (lines 23-30).

- 11. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the data of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Harrell whose telephone number is (703) 305-9692. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and on weekends from 6:00 am to 12 noon Eastern Standard Time.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack B. Harvey, can be reached on (703) 308-9705. The fax phone numbers for the Group are (703) 746-7238 for After-Final, (703) 746-7239 for Official Papers, and (703) 746-7240 for Non-Official and Draft papers.
- 14. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2142